

20000602.ba v02\_n910.bam.20000602

>From ???@??? Fri Jun 2 10:49:19 2000 -0500  
Message-Id: <200006021545.e52Fjrt25811@sco.theporch.com>  
Date: Fri, 2 Jun 2000 10:45:19 CDT  
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 2910

BOATANCHORS Digest 2910

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Re: Coastwatchers  
by Jderm740@aol.com
- 2) Re: Coastwatchers  
by "Hue Miller" <kargokult@proaxis.com>
- 3) ARC Type 15F components  
by Peter McCollum <saipan59@juno.com>
- 4) Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
by Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>
- 5) Awesome Web Site about Tubes - Mike's Electric Stuff  
by Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>
- 6) Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
by William Donzelli <aw288@osfn.org>
- 7) Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
by William Donzelli <aw288@osfn.org>
- 8) TBM-11 Request For Assistance  
by Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>
- 9) Re: TBM-11 Request For Assistance  
by William Donzelli <aw288@osfn.org>
- 10) Help Needed  
by "Lloyd A. Scott, Jr." <res00goy@gte.net>
- 11) Re: Coastwatchers  
by Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>
- 12) Re: Coastwatchers  
by Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>
- 13) Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
by Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>
- 14) Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
by Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>
- 15) Re: Boatanchor Thefts  
by Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>
- 16) Reproduction Manuals  
by knepper <knepper@lenzlink.net>
- 17) Military communications equipment collectors and restorers.....  
by Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 18) Re: [Collins] Reproduction Manuals

- by knepper <knepper@lenzlink.net>
- 19) Re: Coastwatchers  
by Lenox Carruth <carruth@geo-thermal.com>
  - 20) RE: BA scopes (from mil auction)  
by n6nae@ix.netcom.com
  - 21) RE: BA scopes (from mil auction)  
by Merz Donald S <merz.ds@mellon.com>
  - 22) RE: Military Comm gear on Boatanchors  
by "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@miavx1.acs.muohio.edu>
  - 23) RE: Military Comm gear on Boatanchors  
by Merz Donald S <merz.ds@mellon.com>

-----  
From: Jderm740@aol.com  
Message-ID: <aa.5d3b226.266844af@aol.com>  
Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 18:58:55 EDT  
Subject: Re: Coastwatchers  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
CC: boatanchors@theporch.com  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Ed and the Group

About the Filipinos haveing ' 474s and not the coastwatchers you have to go back to the time all this took place. The Philipines were an American territory in the 1940s. We won them during the Spanish-American war. We promised the Filipinos their independence in 1945, which President Truman did in 1945. In the 1930s General Mac Arthur, who had retired from the US Army, was hired by Manual Quezon to build up the Philippine Army and Navy. Under those conditions he asked for and got a lot of equipment that was new even to our own military. Planes, guns, etc.

We had no arrangement with Australia, which was controlled by Britian, even if loosely. Also many of the Pacific Islands were under the control of Japan, I believe ,except the Solomons, which was were the coast watchers were used. The coastwatchers came into being when Japan began to invade those islands held by the Australians.

Things happened so fast in 1942 that the Aussies sent the only thing they had. Even if it was cumbersome and heavy. You use what you have.

Jack McDermott Jderm740@aol.com

-----  
Message-ID: <002c01bfcc34\$e70e4120\$acc16ac6@oemcomputer>  
From: "Hue Miller" <kargokult@proaxis.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: Coastwatchers  
Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 18:50:15 -0700  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

-----Original Message-----

From: Jderm740@aol.com <Jderm740@aol.com>

In the 1930s General Mac Arthur, who had retired from the US Army,  
|was hired by Manuel Quezon to build up the Philippine Army and Navy. Under  
|those conditions he asked for and got a lot of equipment that was new even  
to  
|our own military. Planes, guns, etc.

I am certain, however, they did not get any  
BC-474s at that point. As the Army history  
series tells, the 474 was an RCA commercial  
product being built for Sweden, but when  
war came, that delivery was cancelled and  
production given over to the U.S. Army. This  
is a later set than the above era in the  
Philippines.

Also, from what i've seen via photos, the  
Phillipines might have gotten state-of-art  
equipment in the 1930s, but they were  
stuck with that same materiel right up  
into the start of the war.

|We had no arrangement with Australia, which  
was controlled by Britian....Things happened so  
fast in 1942 that the Aussies sent the only thing  
they |had. Even if it was cumbersome and heavy.  
You use what you have.  
--Jack McDermott

Yes, that gear already was use, in many places  
in the islands, being leased by AWA to  
plantations owners and such.  
However, I still think it's unfortunate the U.S.  
military couldn't have been begged out of  
a relatively few sets, that would have seem  
to have been more practical in this role.  
My suggestions:

TBX am/cw 2-5 mc/s (to 7 mc/s with mod.)  
20w cw output, handcrank generator, 4 man  
pack load  
MBM, cw 4-14 mc/s, 15w output, handcrank  
generator, 3-4 man load  
TCH, am-cw 2-12 mc/s, 20w output, vibrator  
supply, no provision for packloading in original  
design.

-----  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 19:32:01 -0600  
Subject: ARC Type 15F components  
Message-ID: <20000601.193203.-321417.0.saipan59@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
From: Peter McCollum <saipan59@juno.com>

Can anyone name the major components of the ARC Type 15F set?  
I'm specifically interested in the "F" variant.

Thanks,  
Pete McCollum

-----  
YOU'RE PAYING TOO MUCH FOR THE INTERNET!  
Juno now offers FREE Internet Access!  
Try it today - there's no risk! For your FREE software, visit:  
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.

-----  
Message-ID: <393710B5.92010417@idirect.com>  
Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:41:11 -0400  
From: Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

William Donzelli wrote:

> Not LORAN - the AN/UPN-12 was up there (I think S band). Basically a  
> beacon, as Ed says.  
>  
> William Donzelli

> aw288@osfn.org

Hi William,

Just located ny AN nomenclature chart. I agree with you that its a beacon.

U= General Utility

P= Radar

N= Navigation aid.

A UPX would be an IFF unit.

Supect Loran would be coded URD where:

U= General Utility

R= Radio

D=Direction finding

--

Regards,

Jerry Proc VE3FAB jproc@idirect.com

Web: [www3.sympatico.ca/hrc/haida](http://www3.sympatico.ca/hrc/haida)

HMCS HAIDA Historic Naval Ship, Toronto Ontario

-----  
Message-ID: <393717D2.6E1AE9AB@idirect.com>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 22:11:30 -0400

From: Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Awesome Web Site about Tubes - Mike's Electric Stuff

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Folks,

I accidentally stumbled upon an awesome web site featuring, high res photos of uncommon tubes and other high voltage devices. Part of the site is a virtual electrical science centre.

One section shows solid state circuit boards being zapped by incredible amounts of high voltage.

A statistics counter verifies that one of the most popular sections of the page

is the one where a CD is being zapped by the Tesla coil. You can almost

smell the ozone coming from the PC:-)

What do do with all those new old-stock nixie tubes? - the webmaster shows you how to build a clock.

Mike's Electric Stuff  
<http://www.electricstuff.co.uk>

--

Regards,  
Jerry Proc VE3FAB [jproc@idirect.com](mailto:jproc@idirect.com)  
Web: [www3.sympatico.ca/hrc/haida](http://www3.sympatico.ca/hrc/haida)  
HMCS HAIDA Historic Naval Ship, Toronto Ontario

-----  
Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 22:30:27 -0400 (EDT)  
From: William Donzelli <[aw288@osfn.org](mailto:aw288@osfn.org)>  
To: Old Tube Radios <[boatanchors@theporch.com](mailto:boatanchors@theporch.com)>  
cc: Old Tube Radios <[boatanchors@theporch.com](mailto:boatanchors@theporch.com)>  
Subject: Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
Message-ID: <[Pine.SUN.3.91-FP.1000601222455.29638B-1000000@osfn.org](mailto:Pine.SUN.3.91-FP.1000601222455.29638B-1000000@osfn.org)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

> Just located ny AN nomenclature chart. I agree with you that its a beacon.

The AN/ system tends to call a spade a spade. See below.

> Supect Loran would be coded URD where:

No, still UPD. The P is for pulse, and is not frequency dependant. See the AN/APN-4 and AN/APN-9 for examples.

William Donzelli  
[aw288@osfn.org](mailto:aw288@osfn.org)

-----  
Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 22:37:18 -0400 (EDT)  
From: William Donzelli <[aw288@osfn.org](mailto:aw288@osfn.org)>  
To: Old Tube Radios <[boatanchors@theporch.com](mailto:boatanchors@theporch.com)>  
Subject: Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran  
Message-ID: <[Pine.SUN.3.91-FP.1000601223640.29638C-1000000@osfn.org](mailto:Pine.SUN.3.91-FP.1000601223640.29638C-1000000@osfn.org)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

> No, still UPD. The P is for pulse, and is not frequency dependant. See

> the AN/APN-4 and AN/APN-9 for examples.

OOPS, UPN - "D" seems to be reserved for direction finding.

William Donzelli  
aw288@osfn.org

-----  
Message-ID: <39371E81.73988EE1@idirect.com>  
Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 22:40:03 -0400  
From: Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
CC: ssgtferg@hotmail.com  
Subject: TBM-11 Request For Assistance  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Folks,

I received this request today. Since I don't hold any docs on the TBM transmitter, can someone on the list provide any assistance? Do not reply to me. Please REPLY TO: ssgtferg@hotmail.com

\*\*\*\*\*  
[Beginning of Forwarded Content]

Subject: Naval Radios  
Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 18:56:54 -0700  
From: "John C. Ferguson" <:ssgtferg@hotmail.com>

Do you happen to have a good source of information concerning World War II Westinghouse naval radios? I am trying to find out if a Westinghouse

TBM-11 would have been on a battleship. I am assisting in the restoration of the battleship TEXAS, which served in both wars, and a person has tentatively offered us the Westinghouse TBM-11, but only if it is appropriate for the ship. A shove in the right direction will be greatly appreciated.

John Ferguson  
Houston, Texas  
713-693-3701

[End of Forwarded Content]

\*\*\*\*\*

Regards,  
Jerry Proc VE3FAB jproc@idirect.com  
Web: www3.sympatico.ca/hrc/haida  
HMCS HAIDA Historic Naval Ship, Toronto Ontario

-----  
Date: Thu, 1 Jun 2000 22:56:43 -0400 (EDT)  
From: William Donzelli <aw288@osfn.org>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
cc: ssgtferg@hotmail.com  
Subject: Re: TBM-11 Request For Assitance  
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.91-FP.1000601224656.29638D-1000000@osfn.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

> Do you happen to have a good source of information concerning World War  
> II Westinghouse naval radios? I am trying to find out if a Westinghouse  
>  
> TBM-11 would have been on a battleship. I am assisting in the  
> restoration of the battleship TEXAS, which served in both wars, and a  
> person has tentatively offered us the Westinghouse TBM-11, but only if  
> it is appropriate for the ship. A shove in the right direction will be  
> greatly appreciated.

Extremely likely. The Texas no doubt had some very interesting sets thru  
its history - some I would kill and man for - but I would say that in the  
late 1930s or early 1940s, she received some sort of TBK(s) or TBM(s). Maybe  
not an -11, but differences tend to be minor for the Westinghouse units.  
Anyway, my reasoning is that by 1942, I think darn near every combat  
vessel (and many auxiliaries) used TBKs and TBMs.

My advice is take it while you can get it, as the transmitters are really  
quite rare items. Do some research to find out what was actually  
installed upon decommissioning - you will probably find that taking the  
TBM was a wise move.

William Donzelli  
aw288@osfn.org

-----  
Message-ID: <39373AE7.4D0059E1@gte.net>  
Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:41:11 -0700  
From: "Lloyd A. Scott, Jr." <res00goy@gte.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Help Needed  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii



Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Greetings All: This might be off topic since it's solid state, If you can help me please contact me direct.

I have a STONER SSB-40MA Port Transceiver. It's on the HF Band, Looks sorter military. In checking the Jane's book. It makes a very brief reference as semi military unit. In the instruction manual there s a picture of the unit being used with a pack horse? power is 25 watts, frequency 2.0 mhz to 12.0 Mhz. Has speaker, phone jack and CW Key jack on the front panel. Modes, USB, LSB, AM, and CW. It comes with two antennas, Vertical that attaches to the set with a loading coil (Adjustable in the center) and a Military style Dipole and counterpoise. any information will be most appreciated.

Lloyd Scott

-----  
Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20000601213225.00974740@pop3.concentric.net>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:45:59 -0700

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>

Subject: Re: Coastwatchers

Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 06:58 PM 6/1/00 -0400, Jderm740@aol.com wrote:

>Hi Ed and the Group

>

>About the Filipinos haveing ' 474s and not the coastwatchers you have to go

>back to the time all this took place. The Philipines were an American

>territory in the 1940s. We won them during the Spanish-American war.

I have military experience in the Philipines, former and current Filipino friends, historical novels and documents from the Spanish American period as well as stuff about the unfortunate happenings afterward. I sent the original post because I was not sure if the people in the picture were 'just' Filipino underground/escaped Scouts or were Coastwatchers also. Am not sure who had which radio but am willing to bet stuff was pretty F\*kt up so people used what they had. American Army gear which could have been spirited away was surely used but until I can find that photo again I won't know the context . Filipino families have been contacted, mostly Illicano, to see if any old ones are around who remember what radios were used.

-----  
Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20000601214713.009639e0@pop3.concentric.net>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:53:32 -0700

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>  
Subject: Re: Coastwatchers  
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 06:50 PM 6/1/00 -0700, Hue Miller wrote:

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Jderm740@aol.com <Jderm740@aol.com>

>

>In the 1930s General Mac Arthur, who had retired from the US Army,  
>|was hired by Manual Quezon to build up the Philippine Army and Navy. Under  
>|those conditions he asked for and got a lot of equipment that was new even  
>to  
>|our own military. Planes, guns, etc.

>

>I am certain, however, they did not get any  
>BC-474s at that point.

I kind of started this thread when I mentioned a picture I saw which looked like a 474 surrounded by serious faced Filipinos.. It had been a while and I never thought of it until May 1996 when I saw Henry Engstrom's neat old 474 at the first MRCG meet. Before that had never even heard of a 474 but remembered the freakin' picture...which have not been able to find.

-----  
Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20000601215505.00981260@pop3.concentric.net>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:59:22 -0700

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>

Subject: Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran

Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 09:41 PM 6/1/00 -0400, Jerry Proc wrote:

>William Donzelli wrote:

>

> > Not LORAN - the AN/UPN-12 was up there (I think S band). Basically a  
> > beacon, as Ed says.

> >

> > William Donzelli

> > aw288@osfn.org

>

>Hi William,

>

>Just located ny AN nomenclature chart. I agree with you that its a beacon.  
>

>U= General Utility

>P= Radar

>N= Navigation aid.

There was a UPN 6 and 12 I think that I worked on but memory fades...one was the ship/ship IFF beacon and one was ship/Aircraft beacon. I may be totally fu'kt on the designations but those two functions existed

-----  
Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20000601220025.009761b0@pop3.concentric.net>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 22:12:03 -0700

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>

Subject: Re: AN/UPN-12C Loran

Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 10:30 PM 6/1/00 -0400, William Donzelli wrote:

> > Just located ny AN nomenclature chart. I agree with you that its a beacon.  
>

>The AN/ system tends to call a spade a spade. See below.

>

> > Supect Loran would be coded URD where:

>

>No, still UPD. The P is for pulse, and is not frequency dependant. See  
>the AN/APN-4 and AN/APN-9 for examples.

>

>William Donzelli

>aw288@osfn.org

One of the darn things you gotta look at is Navy stuff being devided between ground/shore based and seagoing/shipboard . Examples?? Shipboard TACAN: URN-3,(SAL-89 Klystron) Shore based GRN-9,(SAL-39 Klystron..no grid just -12KV bang to cathode) but later SRN-6 for ships. URD brings back worse than Vietnam nightmares of URD-4 ...UHF DF from Hell. I could be totally dick'd up on this UPN-6 and UPN-12 as ship/ship and ship/air IFF beacons. I'll have to cogitate on the LORAN we had which I think was still "A". God ....been to damn long.

-----  
Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20000601223821.00995280@pop3.concentric.net>

Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 22:42:49 -0700

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Ed Zeranski <ezeran@concentric.net>

Subject: Re: Boatanchor Thefts

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

>  
>Years ago, i had a ARC-2 and an RS-6  
>transmitter stolen. Why would burglars take  
>an ARC-2? Maybe they thot it was some  
>kinda oscilloscope or powerful CB.....

One place I worked several years back had a TEK o'scope stolen from the lab...but the PROBES were left! From that we figured it was the janitors or security guards not an engineer....an engineer or tech would have taken the probes first!

-----  
Message-ID: <39379535.6519359D@lenzlink.net>  
Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 07:06:29 -0400  
From: knepper <knepper@lenzlink.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
CC: Collins QTH NET <collins@qth.net>,  
"COLLINS@LISTSERV.TEMPE.GOV" <COLLINS@LISTSERV.TEMPE.GOV>,  
Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>, ni4q@juno.com,  
Tom Jenkins <tjenkins@hawaii.rr.com>  
Subject: Reproduction Manuals  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dr. Bernard has unquestionably captured the "top spot" for reproducing technical manuals. I met Dr. Al at Dayton 2000 Hamvention this past month and was most impressed with his array of high quality, reproduction manuals, from Collins, Heathkit, and others. However, this is not his full-time business. Each manual is is faithfully reproduced, particularly, the fold-out schematics, just like the originals. It certainly was obvious to me that he takes pride in his faithfully reproduced manuals.

His address is Alvin J. Bernard, P.I., Box 690098, Orland, FL 32869-0098  
Phone number if (407) 351-5536  
email: ni4q@juno.com

Because his product meets the standards of the CRA, we are proud to list the above information on the CRA website, in the new "Collins Store" section.

David Knepper - W3ST

Publisher of the Collins Journal  
Secretary of the Collins Radio Association  
Club Station - W3CRA  
<http://www.citipage.com/collins/>

-----  
Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 05:56:35 -0700  
From: Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>  
Subject: Military communications equipment collectors and restorers.....  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Message-id: <0FVJ002PA2LR3F@mta5.snfc21.pbi.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Group;

I'm not wanting to create a controversy so please read carefully. I have noticed over time the increasing percentage of postings on the List dealing with the subject of military communications equipment and its application to the restoration of historical military equipment displays and museums. Although the radio restorations that are made operational are being operated in the amateur bands, making them appropriate for this list, it seems to me that there is somewhat of a mismatch between the theme of the BA list and the interests of milcom enthusiasts. I read with interest many of the milcom postings, especially where details of the history of use of the equipments are divulged, but the disconnect occurs for me in not understanding where the focus of such interest resides on the Web. I asked earlier if there was a milcom web ring and received no answer. I assume that means there is none. Wouldn't it seem to be a good thing to organize a milcom web ring so that folks interested in the the activities of milcom restorers and history researchers could browse the relevant websites? Perhaps I am missing out on some information.....???

Arden Allen KB6NAX Vallejo, CA gumbear@pacbell.net

-----  
Message-ID: <3937B018.BE990626@lenzlink.net>  
Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 09:01:12 -0400  
From: knepper <knepper@lenzlink.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: [Collins] Reproduction Manuals  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Fingers slipped - Dr. Bernard's city is "Orlando."

Thank you.

knepper wrote:

>  
> Dr. Bernard has unquestionably captured the "top spot" for reproducing  
> technical manuals. I met Dr. Al at Dayton 2000 Hamvention this past  
> month and was most impressed with his array of high quality,  
> reproduction manuals, from Collins, Heathkit, and others. However, this  
> is not his full-time business. Each manual is is faithfully reproduced,  
> particularly, the fold-out schematics, just like the originals. It  
> certainly was obvious to me that he takes pride in his faithfully  
> reproduced manuals.  
>  
> His address is Alvin J. Bernard, P.I., Box 690098, Orland, FL  
> 32869-0098  
> Phone number if (407) 351-5536  
> email: ni4q@juno.com  
>  
> Because his product meets the standards of the CRA, we are proud to list  
> the above information on the CRA website, in the new "Collins Store"  
> section.  
>  
>  
> David Knepper - W3ST  
> Publisher of the Collins Journal  
> Secretary of the Collins Radio Association  
> Club Station - W3CRA  
> <http://www.citipage.com/collins/>  
>  
> --  
> Submissions [collins@qth.net](mailto:collins@qth.net)

--  
David Knepper - W3ST  
Publisher of the Collins Journal  
Secretary of the Collins Radio Association  
Club Station - W3CRA  
<http://www.citipage.com/collins/>

-----  
Message-ID: <3937A204.F6555E25@geo-thermal.com>  
Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 07:01:08 -0500  
From: Lenox Carruth <[carruth@geo-thermal.com](mailto:carruth@geo-thermal.com)>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
CC: Old Tube Radios <[boatanchors@theporch.com](mailto:boatanchors@theporch.com)>  
Subject: Re: Coastwatchers  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

I have a list of WW-II books that are extras for sale (along with a list of radio books) that includes "Lonely Vigil" by Walter Lord. This book, as I remember, has a couple of pictures of the radio equipment. I will privately e-mail the list or lists to anyone interested.

--

Lenox

-----  
Lenox Carruth                      Dallas, TX                      carruth@geo-thermal.com  
Collector of WW-II Communications Equipment and Memorabilia

Wanted: TBX-8 Antenna, Key, Canvas Case, Accessory Box  
-----

-----  
From: n6nae@ix.netcom.com  
Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 10:02:26 -0400  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: RE: BA scopes (from mil auction)  
Message-ID: <Springmail.105.959954546.0.82013300@www.springmail.com>

Not sure what prompted the comment about having several BA scopes, but that meshes with my recent experience. DoD has contracted with www.levylatham.com to sell their surplus online. I bid on some lots of test gear last week at McClellan AFB, and for better or worse won three lots. I hauled home the "up/down" control panel out of a sub, it's so techie I had to have it. Made by Rockwell for \$388K. It will probably become a wall hanging in the shack. The other two lots contained 7 Tek oscopes: a pair of 465B portables, a 464 storage scope and 4 422 miniscopes. Between them and the two Super-Pros I bought at the local swap two weeks ago for \$20 each, I am now climbing over things to get into the garage. Help!

Check out www.levylatham.com for a very slick operation. Just beware, online bidding can become addictive.  
Richard

-----  
Message-ID: <20000602142534.14311.qmail@mellon.com>  
From: Merz Donald S <merz.ds@mellon.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: RE: BA scopes (from mil auction)

Date: Fri, 2 Jun 2000 10:21:19 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain

Another point here: Have you seen the prices of some of these 'scopes? The market is flooded for sure. eBay has 465B's routinely going for \$200 each. 466's and 475's are in the \$300 range. Those are really excellent prices. I think there must be a 'scope out there now for every man, woman and child in the US...

73, Don

> -----Original Message-----

> From: n6nae@ix.netcom.com [SMTP:n6nae@ix.netcom.com]

> Sent: Friday, June 02, 2000 10:02 AM

> To: Old Tube Radios

> Subject: RE: BA scopes (from mil auction)

>

> Not sure what prompted the comment about having several BA scopes,  
> but that meshes with my recent experience. DoD has contracted with  
> www.levylatham.com to sell their surplus online. I bid on some lots  
> of test gear last week at McClellan AFB, and for better or worse won  
> three lots. I hauled home the "up/down" control panel out of a sub,  
> it's so techie I had to have it. Made by Rockwell for \$388K. It will  
> probably become a wall hanging in the shack. The other two lots  
> contained 7 Tek oscopes: a pair of 465B portables, a 464 storage  
> scope and 4 422 miniscopes. Between them and the two Super-Pros I  
> bought at the local swap two weeks ago for \$20 each, I am now  
> climbing over things to get into the garage. Help!

>

> Check out www.levylatham.com for a very slick operation. Just beware,  
> online bidding can become addictive.

> Richard

-----  
Message-Id: <3.0.5.32.20000602110335.007b8820@miavx1.muohio.edu>

Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 11:03:35 -0400

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: "James C. Garland" <4cx250b@miavx1.acs.muohio.edu>

Subject: RE: Military Comm gear on Boatanchors

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hi Gang,

I'm glad Arden raised this issue, because I've been having thoughts along the same lines. While I enjoy reading some of the milcom postings, the general topic seems a bit far afield from the historic amateur radio thrust of the boatanchor list. This is a fuzzy line, I realize, since many



devotees of vintage military communications gear are hams, and since some military radios (e.g., the BC-610, R-390A) are frequently used on the ham bands. Nevertheless, some discussion and policy clarification on this point for the List seems in order.

73,

Jim Garland W8ZR

05:56 AM 6/2/00 -0700, you wrote:

>Group;

>

>I'm not wanting to create a controversy so please read carefully.... I have  
>noticed over time the increasing percentage of postings on the List dealing  
>with the subject of military communications equipment and its application  
>to the restoration of historical military equipment displays and museums.  
>Although the radio restorations that are made operational are being  
>operated in the amateur bands, making them appropriate for this list, it  
>seems to me that there is somewhat of a mismatch between the theme of the  
>BA list and the interests of milcom enthusiasts.....

-----  
Message-ID: <20000602154816.1122.qmail@mellon.com>

From: Merz Donald S <merz.ds@mellon.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: RE: Military Comm gear on Boatanchors

Date: Fri, 2 Jun 2000 11:44:03 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain

Good discussion, but I am not in agreement with this. When did boatanchors become about "historic amateur radio?" I don't get that from reading the FAQ or from anything I know of the history of this list. I think if it has firebottles and weighs enough to be anchor-like, then it belongs here. Hell, many, many of the W.W.II-era MIL radios are repackaged commercial designs, like the HT-4/BC-610, R-274/SP-600.

I don't know if there is a MIL web ring. But there is an amateur radio history mailing list that is separate from the BA list. I am on both and I like both. I don't see a conflict here.

73, Don

> -----Original Message-----

> From: James C. Garland [SMTP:4cx250b@miavx1.acs.muohio.edu]

> Sent: Friday, June 02, 2000 11:04 AM

> To: Old Tube Radios  
> Subject: RE: Military Comm gear on Boatanchors  
>  
> Hi Gang,  
>  
> I'm glad Arden raised this issue, because I've been having thoughts along  
> the same lines. While I enjoy reading some of the milcom postings, the  
> general topic seems a bit far afield from the historic amateur radio  
> thrust  
> of the boatanchor list. This is a fuzzy line, I realize, since many  
> devotees of vintage military communications gear are hams, and since some  
> military radios (e.g., the BC-610, R-390A) are frequently used on the ham  
> bands. Nevertheless, some discussion an policy clarification on this point  
> for the List seems in order.  
>  
> 73,  
>  
> Jim Garland W8ZR  
>  
> 05:56 AM 6/2/00 -0700, you wrote:  
>  
> >Group;  
> >  
> >I'm not wanting to create a controversy so please read carefully.... I  
> have  
> >noticed over time the increasing percentage of postings on the List  
> dealing  
> >with the subject of military communications equipment and its application  
> >to the restoration of historical military equipment displays and museums.  
>  
> >Although the radio restorations that are made operational are being  
> >operated in the amateur bands, making them appropriate for this list, it  
> >seems to me that there is somewhat of a mismatch between the theme of the  
> >BA list and the interests of milcom enthusiasts.....

-----  
End of BOATANCHORS Digest 2910  
\*\*\*\*\*